

No. 08-970

IN THE

SUPREME C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	i
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE.....	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	3
CONCLUSION	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page</u>
<i>Aleyska Pipeline Service Co. v. Wilderness Society,</i>	
<i>Blum v. Stenson,</i>	
<i>Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home v. West Virginia Dep't of Health & Human Res.,</i>	
<i>City of Burlington v. Dague,</i>	

Other Authorities

*Individuals with Disabilities
Education Act (IDEA): Current Funding Trends,*

*Settlement reached in South
Dakota student discipline lawsuit,
available at*

*School Board to Pay in Jesus Prayer
Suit, available at*

available at

available at

*Revised policy aims to settle school
lawsuit,
available at*

available at

INTEREST OF *AMICUS CURIAE*

Amicus

Amicus

amicus curiae

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

ARGUMENT

I. ENHANCED FEE AWARDS ARE AGAINST PUBLIC POLICY, ACTUALLY HURTING STUDENTS SERVED BY PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

See *State Budget Shortfalls: Postsecondary Education Impacts*, _____ and *State Budget Shortfalls: Examples of State Responses*,

~~OTB~~ See

Blum v. Stenson
Pennsylvania v. Delaware Valley Citizens' Council
for Clean Air *City of*
Burlington v. Dague

See, e.g.,

**II. THE PROSPECT OF ENHANCED FEE
AWARDS UNDERMINES THE RELATIVE
CERTAINTY OF THE LODESTAR
APPROACH, THEREBY REDUCING THE
LIKELIHOOD OF SETTLING CERTAIN
TYPES OF SCHOOL LITIGATION.**

Dague

Perdue v. Kenny A

Id.

**III. ENHANCED FEE AWARDS MAY
DISCOURAGE VOLUNTARY CHANGES
THAT SCHOOL DISTRICTS OTHERWISE
WOULD BE WILLING TO MAKE.**

Perdue

Id.

*Buckhannon Bd. & Care
Home v. West Virginia Dep't of Health & Human*

Res.,

Settlement reached in discipline lawsuit *See South Dakota student*

